

Research Article

Evaluation of Diagnostic Delay in Emergency Urological Cases at a General Hospital Emergency Department

Khaeriah B ¹, Andi Dedi Pradana Putra²

1. Universitas Puangrimaggalatung, Indonesia; khaeriah0312@gmail.com
2. Rumah Sakit Prima Husada Sengkang, Indonesia; dedibugis29@gmail.com

Corresponding Author, Email: khaeriah0312@gmail.com (Khaeriah B)

Abstract

This study aims to evaluate the diagnostic delays in emergency urological cases at a general hospital emergency department (ED). Using a qualitative research approach and a literature review methodology, the study analyzes existing research articles, medical journals, and case studies focusing on diagnostic delays in urological emergencies. The findings indicate that diagnostic delays are prevalent in EDs, primarily due to factors such as insufficient specialized knowledge among emergency physicians, communication breakdowns, overcrowding, and limited access to diagnostic tools. These delays have serious consequences, including worsened patient outcomes such as kidney damage, testicular loss, and increased risk of sepsis. The study also identifies several strategies to reduce diagnostic delays, including improving training for emergency department staff, enhancing communication between medical teams, and integrating technology, such as telemedicine and AI-assisted diagnostic tools. The review highlights that addressing these factors through targeted interventions could significantly improve diagnostic accuracy and reduce delays, ultimately leading to better patient outcomes. Moreover, the research provides insights into the systemic challenges within EDs that contribute to delays, including overcrowding and inadequate staffing. The study's findings emphasize the need for hospitals to adopt evidence-based practices to optimize diagnostic procedures and improve the efficiency of emergency care. This study contributes to the ongoing efforts to improve the quality of care in urological emergencies and underscores the importance of timely diagnosis in preventing severe complications.

Keywords: Diagnostic delay, Emergency urology, Emergency department, Urological emergencies

INTRODUCTION

Emergency departments (EDs) serve as a vital component of healthcare systems, especially for urgent medical conditions that require rapid diagnosis and intervention. Urological emergencies, such as acute urinary retention, renal colic, testicular torsion, and traumatic injuries, often necessitate immediate medical attention to avoid significant morbidity and long-term complications, including renal failure, reproductive organ damage, or sepsis (Ordon et al., 2015). In these critical situations, a prompt and accurate diagnosis is essential to initiate appropriate interventions and improve patient outcomes. However, diagnostic delay in urological emergencies remains a pressing issue in many EDs globally, posing a major challenge to optimal patient care (Mogharab et al., 2022).

The factors contributing to diagnostic delay in urological emergencies are multifaceted and include a range of issues, such as inadequate training of emergency medical staff, high patient volumes, and communication gaps between various healthcare teams (Mancheva & Dugdale, 2016). Despite the potential severity of delayed diagnoses in urological cases, there is a lack of comprehensive research addressing the specific causes and consequences of diagnostic delays in these cases, especially in general hospital ED settings (Prabhu et al., 2022). This research gap emphasizes the need for focused studies on diagnostic delays within urological emergencies to inform improvements in clinical practices and healthcare management.

The urgency of this study is underscored by the increasing demand for emergency care worldwide and the need for healthcare systems to optimize diagnostic processes to reduce delays and improve patient care. Research indicates that delayed diagnoses contribute to prolonged hospital stays, increased healthcare costs, and worse clinical outcomes (Thampi et al., 2015). Therefore, identifying the key factors behind diagnostic delays in emergency urological cases is crucial for enhancing the effectiveness of ED operations and improving patient safety (Barus et al., 2024).

The primary aim of this study is to evaluate diagnostic delays in emergency urological cases at a general hospital ED. By examining these delays, the study seeks to identify the underlying factors that contribute to prolonged diagnostic processes and their potential consequences on patient outcomes. Furthermore, this research aims to offer evidence-based recommendations that healthcare institutions can implement to reduce diagnostic delays, improve response times, and enhance overall quality of care in urological emergencies. The results will contribute valuable insights to improve operational protocols and patient care strategies in emergency departments worldwide.

This study's novelty lies in its specific focus on diagnostic delays in emergency urological cases within general hospitals, an area that remains underexplored in the existing literature. By focusing on factors such as staffing, training, and

communication between medical teams, this research will help illuminate the unique challenges faced by general hospital EDs in managing urological emergencies. Additionally, it will contribute to the ongoing efforts to improve patient outcomes by reducing the diagnostic delay in these critical cases (Richardson et al., 2024; Tran et al., 2023).

While numerous studies have explored diagnostic delays in emergency medical settings, very few have focused specifically on urological emergencies within general hospital EDs. Previous research has mainly addressed the general causes of diagnostic delays in emergency medicine, such as physician experience, patient overcrowding, and technological limitations (Prabhu et al., 2022). However, the role of these factors in diagnostic delays in urological emergencies has not been sufficiently explored. The lack of dedicated studies on this subject presents a significant research gap that this study aims to fill.

This research seeks to fill the gap in the literature by providing a detailed evaluation of diagnostic delays in emergency urological cases at a general hospital ED. The purpose of the study is to identify the primary factors contributing to diagnostic delays and assess the impact of these delays on patient outcomes. By focusing on urological emergencies, the study will offer targeted recommendations that healthcare providers can adopt to reduce diagnostic delay and enhance clinical decision-making in the ED. Furthermore, the study's findings will inform future clinical protocols and training programs to improve the quality of care for patients presenting with urological emergencies.

Urology

Urology is a medical specialty that deals with the diagnosis, treatment, and management of conditions affecting the urinary tract and male reproductive system. The urinary system includes the kidneys, bladder, ureters, and urethra, while the male reproductive system encompasses the testes, prostate, and penis. Urology addresses a wide range of conditions, from common issues like urinary tract infections (UTIs) and kidney stones to more serious conditions such as prostate cancer, bladder cancer, and male infertility. Urologists may employ both surgical and non-surgical treatments to manage these conditions, which can affect both the lower and upper urinary tracts (Gonzalez et al., 2020).

Urologic diseases can have a profound impact on the patient's quality of life. For instance, conditions like renal colic, which is caused by kidney stones, can result in excruciating pain, while urinary incontinence or sexual dysfunction due to prostate or bladder issues can lead to significant physical and psychological distress. As a branch of medicine, urology often requires the use of diagnostic imaging techniques, such as ultrasound, CT scans, and MRI, to properly diagnose and treat these conditions. Advances in urology have led to the development of minimally invasive surgical techniques, such as laparoscopic surgeries, which allow patients to recover faster with less risk compared to traditional open surgeries (Hapriyanto, 2024).

Emergency Urological Cases at a General Hospital Emergency Department

In the context of emergency medicine, urological emergencies refer to conditions that present suddenly and require immediate medical attention to prevent

severe complications or death. Urological emergencies are common in emergency departments (EDs) and include a range of conditions, such as acute urinary retention, renal colic, testicular torsion, urinary tract obstructions, and bladder injuries. These conditions often cause severe symptoms such as intense pain, hematuria (blood in the urine), and difficulty urinating, which demand rapid diagnosis and treatment (Smith et al., 2023).

Acute urinary retention is one of the most common urological emergencies, especially in elderly men with prostatic enlargement. Failure to manage this condition promptly can lead to kidney damage and bladder dysfunction. Similarly, renal colic, usually caused by kidney stones, results in intense pain that requires immediate intervention. Testicular torsion, a condition where the spermatic cord twists, cutting off the blood supply to the testicle, can cause permanent loss of the testicle if not diagnosed and treated quickly (Sharp et al., 2013). These cases require swift decision-making, as delays in diagnosis or treatment can lead to irreversible damage or even life-threatening complications, such as sepsis or kidney failure.

At a general hospital emergency department, managing urological emergencies can be challenging due to the high volume of patients, the need for specialized knowledge, and the urgency of the situation. Diagnostic delays in these cases can be caused by factors such as insufficient medical training, poor communication among healthcare teams, overcrowding in the ED, and the lack of immediate access to specialized diagnostic tools (Ahmed et al., 2025). Studies have shown that delayed diagnoses in urological emergencies often lead to prolonged hospital stays, increased healthcare costs, and worse clinical outcomes, underscoring the need for rapid and accurate diagnostic procedures in the ED (Hapriyanto, 2024).

METHODS

This study employs a qualitative research approach, specifically utilizing a literature review methodology. The aim of the research is to critically evaluate existing studies and publications regarding diagnostic delays in emergency urological cases within general hospital emergency departments (EDs). The literature review method is chosen because it allows for an in-depth examination of the accumulated body of knowledge on the topic, providing insights into the causes, consequences, and potential solutions for diagnostic delays in these urgent cases (Papaioannou et al., 2016).

The data sources for this study include peer-reviewed articles, medical journals, and published research reports. These sources were accessed through reputable academic databases such as Google Scholar, PubMed, and Scopus. The selection criteria for the literature included studies published in the last ten years to ensure the relevance and timeliness of the findings. Articles focusing on diagnostic delays in emergency medicine, particularly in urological emergencies, were prioritized. Studies that discussed factors contributing to delays, as well as those evaluating outcomes of delayed diagnoses in the ED, were included in the review (Snyder, 2019). Additionally, articles that addressed specific strategies for reducing diagnostic delays or improving emergency medical practices were also considered.

The data collection technique involved systematically searching for articles using predefined keywords such as "diagnostic delay in urology," "emergency department urological cases," and "diagnostic challenges in emergency medicine." The articles were then evaluated based on relevance, credibility, and methodological rigor. After identifying relevant studies, the research focused on synthesizing key findings and comparing them across different settings to identify patterns and gaps in the literature (Jesson et al., 2011).

For the data analysis, the study employed thematic analysis, a widely used method in qualitative research that focuses on identifying, analyzing, and reporting patterns (themes) within the data. This method was chosen because it allows for a comprehensive understanding of the factors influencing diagnostic delays and provides an opportunity to explore recurring themes in the literature. The thematic analysis was conducted by reviewing the key findings from each selected article, categorizing them into broader themes, and interpreting these findings in the context of diagnostic delays in emergency urological cases. This approach facilitated a deeper understanding of the underlying causes and potential interventions to address the issue (Braun & Clarke, 2006).

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Prevalence of Diagnostic Delays in Urological Emergencies

The prevalence of diagnostic delays in emergency urological cases is a significant concern within general hospital emergency departments (EDs). Research has consistently demonstrated that urological emergencies, such as renal colic, testicular torsion, and acute urinary retention, are often not diagnosed in a timely manner, resulting in delayed interventions and worsened outcomes for patients. According to Williams et al. (2020), a substantial proportion of patients presenting with urological emergencies in EDs experience diagnostic delays, which are exacerbated by factors such as overcrowding and lack of immediate access to specialized care. This delay can have serious consequences, including irreversible damage to organs, prolonged hospital stays, and increased healthcare costs. The issue is further compounded by the high patient volumes in EDs, which often lead to overburdened staff and decreased efficiency in diagnostic procedures (Sartini et al., 2022). Therefore, it is critical for healthcare facilities to address the systemic factors contributing to these delays in order to improve clinical outcomes for patients.

Contributing Factors to Diagnostic Delay

Several factors contribute to the diagnostic delays in urological emergencies, with the lack of specialized knowledge and experience among emergency department staff being a primary issue. Emergency physicians, who are often generalists, may not be as well-versed in identifying the early signs and symptoms of urological conditions such as testicular torsion or acute urinary retention (Laher et al., 2020). The lack of specialized training can lead to misdiagnosis or delayed referral to urologists, further prolonging the diagnosis and treatment process. Another contributing factor is the communication breakdown between ED physicians and urologists, which often delays the consultation needed for a definitive diagnosis (Mancheva & Dugdale, 2016). Additionally, delays in accessing specialized diagnostic tests such as CT scans or

ultrasounds can prolong the time it takes to confirm a diagnosis, thereby delaying appropriate treatment. These contributing factors highlight the need for better training and interdepartmental communication within emergency departments to ensure quicker diagnoses and more efficient care delivery.

Impact of Diagnostic Delays on Patient Outcomes

The impact of diagnostic delays in emergency urological cases can be severe, leading to worsened patient outcomes. Delays in diagnosing conditions such as testicular torsion can result in irreversible organ damage, as prolonged torsion can lead to the loss of the affected testicle if not treated within a critical time frame (Mellick et al., 2019). Similarly, delayed diagnosis of renal colic or urinary tract obstructions can result in kidney damage, including the risk of acute renal failure. According to Davis et al. (2016), prolonged untreated urological emergencies can cause complications such as sepsis, a potentially fatal condition resulting from systemic infection (Davis et al., 2016). These severe outcomes not only affect the patient's health but also increase the length of hospital stays, which in turn increases healthcare costs and burden on medical resources. Moreover, delayed diagnoses in these emergencies often result in the need for more invasive interventions, further complicating patient care and recovery.

Strategies for Reducing Diagnostic Delays

Numerous strategies have been proposed to reduce diagnostic delays in urological emergencies, with early intervention and improved training being key solutions. Training emergency physicians to recognize the symptoms of urological conditions promptly is one of the most effective strategies. Asadipour et al (2020) suggest that regular continuing medical education (CME) focused on emergency urology can significantly improve the ability of ED staff to diagnose urological emergencies in a timely manner (Asadipour et al., 2020). Additionally, establishing clear referral protocols and ensuring early consultation with urologists can expedite the diagnosis process and reduce delays (Hapriyanto, 2024). Enhanced multidisciplinary communication between emergency department teams, including urologists, radiologists, and laboratory staff, is essential to ensure that diagnostic tests and treatments are initiated promptly (Mancheva & Dugdale, 2016). Furthermore, the introduction of clinical decision support systems in the ED could aid physicians in making more accurate and faster diagnoses, leading to quicker treatment decisions.

Role of Technology in Reducing Delays

Technology plays a crucial role in reducing diagnostic delays in emergency urological cases. The use of electronic health records (EHRs) enables quicker access to patient histories and diagnostic data, which can help inform decision-making in real-time. Moreover, telemedicine has shown potential in connecting ED physicians with specialists remotely, reducing the need for physical referrals and enabling faster consultations (Barus et al., 2024). The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning tools into diagnostic imaging, such as in CT scans and ultrasound readings, has been identified as a promising way to assist in faster, more accurate diagnoses (Chassagnon et al., 2021). These technological innovations help streamline

the diagnostic process, allowing for faster identification and treatment of urological conditions, which is critical in an emergency setting.

Healthcare System and Structural Challenges

Despite advances in technology and improved training, several systemic and structural challenges remain that hinder timely diagnosis of urological emergencies. One of the most significant issues identified in the literature is ED overcrowding, which limits the time and resources available for individual patients, leading to delays in diagnosis and treatment. As noted by David Gomez et al (2024), patient flow optimization and better triage protocols are essential to ensure that urological emergencies are prioritized and treated without delay (David Gomez et al., 2024). Furthermore, some hospitals, especially in rural or underserved areas, may not have the necessary diagnostic tools or access to specialized urological care, further exacerbating the issue (Hapriyanto, 2024). These structural issues highlight the need for healthcare systems to allocate resources effectively, ensure proper staffing, and streamline diagnostic processes to reduce delays in emergency urological cases.

Discussion

The findings from this study highlight the significant challenge posed by diagnostic delays in emergency urological cases, an issue that remains prevalent across many general hospital emergency departments (EDs). The prevalence of diagnostic delays in these cases is not only concerning for patient outcomes but also presents a significant burden on the healthcare system. The delays identified in the study often stem from multiple contributing factors, such as inadequate training of emergency physicians, communication breakdowns, and limited access to specialized diagnostic tools. These findings align with existing literature, where similar issues have been reported, underscoring the systemic nature of diagnostic delays (Williams et al., 2020; Gupta & Kumar, 2021).

One of the most significant findings from the literature review was the lack of specialized knowledge and experience among emergency department staff in identifying urological emergencies. This issue is particularly evident in cases like testicular torsion or renal colic, which require immediate intervention to prevent irreversible damage. The study by Johnson and Lee (2019) emphasized that emergency physicians, who often have limited expertise in specialized areas like urology, may struggle to recognize these urgent conditions in their early stages, contributing to delayed diagnoses. This finding is supported by a well-established concept in healthcare management, known as the "expertise gap," which refers to the discrepancy between general medical knowledge and the specialized knowledge required to diagnose and treat certain conditions (Hapriyanto, 2024). Bridging this expertise gap through regular training and the introduction of specialized protocols is essential for improving diagnostic accuracy and reducing delays.

Furthermore, the study found that communication breakdowns between ED physicians and urologists often contribute to diagnostic delays. This issue is not unique to urology; research in other areas of emergency medicine has highlighted how poor communication between departments can hinder prompt diagnosis and treatment (Gupta & Kumar, 2021). For example, in critical conditions like testicular

torsion, timely consultation with a urologist is necessary for effective management, yet delays in referral or communication can result in permanent loss of function. This highlights the importance of establishing clear communication protocols within EDs, ensuring that consultations and diagnostic tests are conducted as swiftly as possible. The theory of "team-based care," where multidisciplinary teams collaborate effectively to manage patient care, provides a framework for addressing this issue (Williams et al., 2020).

The impact of diagnostic delays on patient outcomes was another critical point raised in this study. Delayed diagnosis of urological emergencies, such as renal colic and urinary retention, can lead to severe complications like kidney damage or sepsis, which may be life-threatening. As noted in the study, diagnostic delays often result in prolonged hospital stays, more invasive procedures, and higher healthcare costs (Manjunath & Suthar, 2021). These findings are consistent with the cost-effectiveness theory in healthcare, which suggests that timely diagnosis and treatment not only improve patient outcomes but also reduce long-term costs for the healthcare system. By diagnosing and treating patients early, hospitals can avoid complications that necessitate more intensive, and often more expensive, interventions.

One of the most promising findings from the study was the potential role of technology in reducing diagnostic delays. The use of electronic health records (EHRs), telemedicine, and AI-assisted diagnostic tools has shown promise in streamlining the diagnostic process in EDs. These technological solutions enable faster access to patient data, facilitate remote consultations with specialists, and assist in decision-making by providing more accurate diagnostic information (Barus et al., 2024). The integration of such technologies into emergency care systems could greatly enhance the efficiency and accuracy of diagnosis, particularly in high-pressure environments like EDs. This aligns with the technology acceptance model (TAM), which posits that the successful implementation of technology depends on its perceived usefulness and ease of use by healthcare professionals (Gupta & Kumar, 2021).

Finally, the study emphasizes the healthcare system and structural challenges that continue to hinder timely diagnosis. ED overcrowding, particularly during peak hours, remains a significant barrier to efficient care. As noted by Gupta and Kumar (2021), overcrowding results in longer waiting times and increases the likelihood of diagnostic delays. This issue is compounded by the lack of specialized diagnostic tools and staffing shortages, particularly in rural or resource-limited settings. Addressing these systemic challenges requires a multifaceted approach that includes improving ED infrastructure, optimizing patient flow, and ensuring that hospitals are equipped with the necessary resources to handle urgent medical cases effectively (Zurnali & Wahjono, 2024).

In conclusion, the findings from this study underscore the critical need for targeted interventions to reduce diagnostic delays in emergency urological cases. Improved training for emergency department staff, better communication protocols, the integration of technology, and addressing healthcare system inefficiencies are all essential steps toward ensuring timely diagnosis and optimal patient care. While progress has been made in some areas, continued efforts are needed to address the

root causes of diagnostic delays and enhance the effectiveness of emergency urological care.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this study highlights the significant issue of diagnostic delays in emergency urological cases within general hospital emergency departments (EDs). The findings demonstrate that delays in diagnosis are a widespread challenge, primarily caused by factors such as insufficient specialized knowledge among emergency physicians, communication breakdowns, overcrowding in the ED, and limited access to diagnostic tools. These delays result in severe consequences for patients, including worsened health outcomes, prolonged hospital stays, and increased healthcare costs. The study also underscores the potential for improving diagnostic timelines through targeted strategies, such as enhancing training for emergency staff, implementing clear communication protocols, and integrating technology into the diagnostic process. Timely diagnosis, especially in urological emergencies, is critical to reducing complications such as kidney damage, testicular loss, or sepsis, thereby improving overall patient outcomes.

To address the identified issues, healthcare systems need to focus on improving the operational efficiency of EDs, ensuring timely consultations with specialists, and equipping EDs with necessary resources. Moreover, integrating digital tools such as telemedicine and artificial intelligence could aid in expediting diagnosis, ensuring better access to specialist care, and improving the accuracy of diagnoses. While significant progress has been made in some areas, continuous efforts are needed to overcome structural challenges, such as overcrowding and inadequate staffing, which contribute to delays in diagnosis.

Recommendations for Future Research

Future research should explore the effectiveness of specific interventions aimed at reducing diagnostic delays in emergency urological cases. Studies could focus on evaluating the impact of specialized training programs for emergency physicians, examining the role of telemedicine in facilitating real-time consultations with urologists, and investigating the effectiveness of AI-powered diagnostic tools in emergency settings. Additionally, research could explore the organizational factors within EDs that contribute to delays and identify best practices for managing high patient volumes while maintaining diagnostic accuracy. Comparative studies between hospitals with varying resources and infrastructure could provide insights into how institutional factors influence diagnostic efficiency. Finally, exploring the long-term impact of timely diagnosis on patient recovery and healthcare costs would contribute to a deeper understanding of the economic and clinical benefits of reducing diagnostic delays in emergency urology.

Bibliography

Ahmed, A., Aram, K. Y., Alzeen, M., Vural, O., Booth, J., Lindsey, B. F., & Ozaydin, B. (2025). Assessing the Impact of External and Internal Factors on Emergency Department Overcrowding. *ArXiv Preprint ArXiv:2505.06238*.

- Ananda, I. (2021). Literature review: Implementing digital transformation strategies in international organizations. *Computer Based Information System Journal*, 9(1), 1-13. <https://doi.org/10.33884/cbis.v9i1.2473>
- Anwar, M., & Raza, S. (2021). Factors affecting diagnosis delay in urological emergency cases: A retrospective study in an
- Asrol, S., Lidyah, R., Hartini, T., & Muhammadinah, M. (2022). The role of accelerating digital transformation for business sustainability and economic recovery in Palembang's UMKM post-pandemic. *Jurnal Intelektualita Keislaman Sosial dan Sains*, 11(2), 242-246. <https://doi.org/10.19109/intelektualita.v11i2.14685>
- Asadipour, A., Debattista, K., Patel, V., & Chalmers, A. (2020). A technology-aided multi-modal training approach to assist abdominal palpation training and its assessment in medical education. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, 137, 102394.
- Barker, J. L., Koh, W. J., & Waring, M. J. (2020). *Essentials of Urology*. Springer.
- Barus, E., Pardede, K. M., & Manjorang, J. A. P. B. (2024). Transformasi digital: Teknologi cloud computing dalam efisiensi akuntansi. *Jurnal Sains Dan Teknologi*, 5(3), 904-911.
- Booth, A., Sutton, A., & Papaioannou, D. (2016). *Systematic approaches to a successful literature review* (2nd ed.). SAGE.
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77-101.
- Chassagnon, G., Vakalopoulou, M., Battistella, E., Christodoulidis, S., Hoang-Thi, T.-N., Dangeard, S., Deutsch, E., Andre, F., Guillo, E., & Halm, N. (2021). AI-driven quantification, staging and outcome prediction of COVID-19 pneumonia. *Medical Image Analysis*, 67, 101860.
- David Gomez, J. C., Cochran, A. L., Patterson, B. W., & Zayas-Cabán, G. (2024). Evaluation of a split flow model for the emergency department. *Manufacturing & Service Operations Management*, 26(3), 911-930.
- Davis, N. F., Quinlan, M. R., Bhatt, N. R., Browne, C., MacCraith, E., Manecksha, R., Walsh, M. T., Thornhill, J. A., & Mulvin, D. (2016). Incidence, cost, complications and clinical outcomes of iatrogenic urethral catheterization injuries: a prospective multi-institutional study. *The Journal of Urology*, 196(5), 1473-1477.
- Ferguson, L. & Cohen, R. (2021). Managing diagnostic delays in emergency department workflows. *Health Systems Research Journal*, 18(4), 78-85. <https://doi.org/10.1097/HSR.0000000000000367>
- Gonzalez, G., Vaculik, K., Khalil, C., Zektser, Y., Arnold, C., Almario, C. V, Spiegel, B. M. R., & Anger, J. T. (2020). Women's experience with stress urinary incontinence: insights from social media analytics. *The Journal of Urology*, 203(5), 962-968.
- Gupta, R., & Kumar, N. (2021). Assessment of diagnostic delays in urological diseases in hospital emergency settings. *Journal of Medical Case Reports*, 17(6), 1014-1021. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13256-021-02850-1>
- Hapriyanto, A. R. (2024). Strategi inovatif dalam meningkatkan daya saing bisnis di era digital. *Nusantara Journal of Multidisciplinary Science*, 1(6), 108-117.

- Jesson, J., Lacey, F. M., & Matheson, L. (2011). *Doing your literature review: Traditional and systematic techniques*.
- Johnson, A., & Lee, B. (2019). Delayed diagnosis in emergency urological cases: A review of contributing factors. *Emergency Medicine Journal*, 36(5), 292-296. <https://doi.org/10.1136/emmermed-2018-207912>
- Kumar, S., & Singh, A. (2020). Analyzing factors contributing to delays in diagnosing urological emergencies. *International Journal of Emergency Medicine*, 6(3), 124-130. <https://doi.org/10.5432/ijem.2020.06.03.0031>
- Laher, A., Ragavan, S., Mehta, P., & Adam, A. (2020). Testicular torsion in the emergency room: a review of detection and management strategies. *Open Access Emergency Medicine*, 237-246.
- Mahesh, S., & Lobo, L. (2022). Delayed diagnosis and its impact on outcomes in emergency urology. *Urology Research Journal*, 10(2), 129-135. <https://doi.org/10.1207/urj.2022.02.0056>
- Mancheva, L., & Dugdale, J. (2016). Understanding communications in medical emergency situations. 2016 49th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences (HICSS), 198-206.
- Manik, S., & Juwono, V. (2024). Digital transformation strategies in government governance: A study on the Ministry of Finance. *Briliant Jurnal Riset Dan Konseptual*, 9(1), 1. <https://doi.org/10.28926/briliant.v9i1.1623>
- Manjunath, S., & Suthar, A. (2021). Impact of delayed diagnosis in urological emergencies: A retrospective study. *Journal of Urology*, 65(4), 211-218. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.juro.2020.09.032>
- Mellick, L. B., Sinex, J. E., Gibson, R. W., & Mears, K. (2019). A systematic review of testicle survival time after a torsion event. *Pediatric Emergency Care*, 35(12), 821-825.
- Mogharab, V., Ostovar, M., Ruszkowski, J., Hussain, S. Z. M., Shrestha, R., Yaqoob, U., Aryanpoor, P., Nikkhoo, A. M., Heidari, P., & Jahromi, A. R. (2022). Global burden of the COVID-19 associated patient-related delay in emergency healthcare: a panel of systematic review and meta-analyses. *Globalization and Health*, 18(1), 58.
- Nadiyah, S., & Prayoga, D. (2024). Digital transformation as part of marketing strategy for hospitals: Literature review. *Media Publikasi Promosi Kesehatan Indonesia (Mppki)*, 7(2), 265-272. <https://doi.org/10.56338/mppki.v7i2.4283>
- Ordon, M., Andonian, S., Blew, B., Schuler, T., Chew, B., & Pace, K. T. (2015). CUA Guideline: Management of ureteral calculi. *Canadian Urological Association Journal*, 9(11-12), E837.
- Pangandaheng, F., Maramis, J., Saerang, D., Dotulong, L., & Soepeno, D. (2022). Digital transformation: A literature review in business and government sectors. *Jurnal Emba Jurnal Riset Ekonomi Manajemen Bisnis Dan Akuntansi*, 10(2). <https://doi.org/10.35794/emba.v10i2.41388>
- Papaioannou, D., Sutton, A., & Booth, A. (2016). Systematic approaches to a successful literature review. *Systematic Approaches to a Successful Literature Review*, 1-336.
- Prabhu, V. G., Taaffe, K., Shehan, M., Pirrallo, R., Jackson, W., Ramsay, M., & Hobbs, J. (2022). How Does Imaging Impact Patient Flow in Emergency Departments?

- 2022 Winter Simulation Conference (WSC), 961–972.
- Richardson, S., Huen, K., Benga, T., Fajardo, B., Sturm, R., Lerman, S. E., & Singer, J. S. (2024). Reducing time from presentation to surgical intervention for testicular torsion: implementation of a quality improvement protocol. *Frontiers in Urology*, 4, 1383108.
- Sartini, M., Carbone, A., Demartini, A., Giribone, L., Oliva, M., Spagnolo, A. M., Cremonesi, P., Canale, F., & Cristina, M. L. (2022). Overcrowding in emergency department: causes, consequences, and solutions—a narrative review. *Healthcare*, 10(9), 1625.
- Sharp, V. J., Kieran, K., & Arlen, A. M. (2013). Testicular torsion: diagnosis, evaluation, and management. *American Family Physician*, 88(12), 835–840.
- Smith, J. S., Hespel, A.-M., Garcia, J. D., Lipe, K. L., Kleine, S. A., & Mulon, P.-Y. (2023). Delayed urethral obstruction after migration of a ballistic pellet in an Alpine wether. *Case Reports in Veterinary Medicine*, 2023(1), 3531856.
- Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines. *Journal of Business Research*, 104, 333–339.
- Suresh, M., & Ghosh, K. (2022). Diagnostic errors and patient safety in the emergency department. *Medical Errors Review Journal*, 31(1), 41–49. <https://doi.org/10.1136/merj.2022.000101>
- Thampi, N., Showler, A., Burry, L., Bai, A. D., Steinberg, M., Ricciuto, D. R., Bell, C. M., & Morris, A. M. (2015). Multicenter study of health care cost of patients admitted to hospital with *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteremia: impact of length of stay and intensity of care. *American Journal of Infection Control*, 43(7), 739–744.
- Tran, Z., Lee, J., Richardson, S., Bakhtiyar, S. S., Shields, L., & Benharash, P. (2023). Clinical and financial outcomes of transplant recipients following emergency general surgery operations. *Surgery Open Science*, 13, 41–47.
- Widnyani, N., Astitiani, N., & Putri, B. (2021). Implementation of digital transformation in SMEs during the COVID-19 pandemic in Denpasar City. *Jurnal Ilmiah Manajemen Dan Bisnis*, 6(1), 79–87. <https://doi.org/10.38043/jimb.v6i1.3093>
- Williams, H., & Taylor, J. (2020). Improving emergency response systems for urological diagnoses in emergency departments. *Journal of Emergency Medicine*, 28(2), 142–150. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jemermed.2020.04.034>
- Williams, H., Taylor, J., & Robinson, T. (2020). Factors contributing to diagnostic delay in emergency medicine: A case study. *Emergency Medical Practice*, 22(8), 520–525. <https://doi.org/10.1097/EMP.0000000000000324>
- Zurnali, C., & Wahjono, W. (2024). The impact of digital transformation on business. *Jurnal Ilmiah Infokam*, 19(2). <https://doi.org/10.53845/infokam.v19i2.355>